# 2014-2015 Annual Assessment Report Template

FOR GRADUATE AND CREDENTIAL PROGRAMS: THIS TEMPLATE REF THESE REFERENCES IN YOUR REPORT.	ERS TO SAC STATE BACCALAUREATE LEARNING GOALS. PLEASE IGNORE				
Question 1: Program Learning Outcomes					
Q1.1. Which of the following Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs) did you assess in 2014-2015? [Check all that apply] 1. Critical thinking 2. Information literacy	Q1.3. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?       x     1. Yes       2. No     3. Don't know				
3. Written communication     4. Oral communication     5. Quantitative literacy     6. Inquiry and analysis     7. Creative thinking     8. Reading	Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC)?         1. Yes         2. No (Go to Q1.5)         3. Don't know (Go to Q1.5)				
<ul> <li>9. Team work</li> <li>10. Problem solving</li> <li>11. Civic knowledge and engagement</li> <li>12. Intercultural knowledge and competency</li> <li>13. Ethical reasoning</li> <li>14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning</li> </ul>	Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency?         1. Yes         2. No         3. Don't know				
<ul> <li>15. Global learning</li> <li>16. Integrative and applied learning</li> <li>17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge</li> <li>18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline</li> <li>19. Other, specify any PLOs that were assessed in 2014-2015 but not included above:</li> <li>a.</li> <li>b.</li> </ul>	Q1.5. Did your program use the Degree Qualification Profile (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)?         1. Yes         x       2. No, but I know what the DQP is         3. No, I don't know what the DQP is.         4. Don't know				
с.	Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable (See Attachment I)?				
Q1.2. Please provide more detailed background information ab above and other information such as how your specific PLOs we State BLGs: We are still in the process of updating our Assessment Plan. As such, w according to our old plan was not in our best interest.	ere <b>explicitly</b> linked to the Sac your PLOs?				

IN QUESTIONS 2 THROUGH 5, REPORT IN DETAIL ON ONE PLO THAT	YOU ASSESSED I	N <b>20</b> 14	-2015	
Question 2: Standard of Performance for th	ne selected	PLO		
assessment (be sure you checked the correct box for this PLO in Q1.1): -NA-	<b>2.2.</b> Has the progr dopted <b>explicit</b> sta or this PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	indards o	, f perform	
Q2.3. <u>Please provide the rubric(s)</u> and standard of performance that you have developed limit: 300] -NA-	a for this PLO here	or in the	appendix	(: [word
Q2.4. Please indicate the category in which the selected PLO falls into.1. Critical thinking2. Information literacy3. Written communication4. Oral communication5. Quantitative literacy6. Inquiry and analysis7. Creative thinking8. Reading9. Team work10. Problem solving11. Civic knowledge and engagement12. Intercultural knowledge and competency13. Ethical reasoning14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning15. Global learning16. Integrative and applied learning17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline19. Other:				
Please indicate where you have published the PLO, the standard of performance, and the rubric that measures the PLO:		Q2.5	(2) Standards of R Performance	Q2.7 (3) Rubrics
1. In <b>SOME</b> course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO				
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO				
3. In the student handbook/advising handbook				
4. In the university catalogue				
5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters				
6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources or activities				
7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university				
<ol> <li>8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents</li> <li>9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents</li> </ol>	umonts			
10. Other, specify:				

Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the <u>Selected</u> PLO					
Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collect         PLO in 2014-2015?         1. Yes         2. No (Skip to Q6)         3. Don't know (Skip to Q6)         4. N/A (Skip to Q6)         Q3.1A. How many assessment tools/method	ed for the selected	Q3.2. If yes, was the 2015?         1. Yes         2. No (Skip to Q6)         3. Don't know (Slip)         4. N/A (Skip to Q6)         Q3.2A Please describ	data <b>scored/evaluated</b> for this PLO in 2014- kip to <b>Q6</b> ) 5) we how you collected the assessment data		
did you use to assess this PLO?	pasures (kev ass		For example, in what course(s) or by what lected (see Attachment II)? [Word limit: 300]		
Q3.3. Were direct measures [key assignment			following direct measures were used?		
portfolios, etc.] used to assess this PLO?         1. Yes         2. No (Go to Q3.7)         3. Don't know (Go to Q3.7)         Q3.3.2. Please attach the direct measure you data.		[Check all that apply]         1. Capstone projectorses, or expected         2. Key assignment         3. Key assignment         4. Classroom bassimulations, com         5. External performant	jects (including theses, senior theses), eriences nts from required classes in the program nts from elective classes sed performance assessments such as nprehensive exams, critiques ormance assessments such as internships inity based projects		
Q3.4. How was the data evaluated? [Select or1. No rubric is used to interpret the evide2. Used rubric developed/modified by th3. Used rubric developed/modified by a4. Used rubric pilot-tested and refined by5. The VALUE rubric(s)6. Modified VALUE rubric(s)7. Used other means. Specify:	ence (Go to <b>Q3.5</b> ) le faculty who teaches group of faculty	the class			
assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly	Q3.4.2. Was the direct assignment, thesis, et and explicitly with the 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	tc.) aligned directly	Q3.4.3. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A		

Q3.5. How many faculty members participat assessment data collection of the selected P Q3.6. How did you select the sample of stud projects, portfolios, etc.]?	PLO?	a norming process (a scoring similarly)? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	as evaluated by multiple scorers, was there procedure to make sure everyone was decide how many samples of student work			
<b>Q3.6.2.</b> How many students were in the class or program?	Q3.6.3. How many sa work did you evaluate		<b>Q3.6.4.</b> Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate?			
	work ald you evaluat	er	1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know			
Q3B: Indirect M	easures (surveys	s, focus groups,	interviews, etc.)			
Q3.7. Were indirect measures used to asses         1. Yes         2. No (Skip to Q3.8)         3. Don't know         Q3.7.2 If surveys were used, how was the sa         Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, briefly specify your sample.	ample size decided?	[Check all that apply         1. National stude         2. University cor         3. College/Depare         4. Alumni survey         5. Employer survey         6. Advisory boar         7. Other, specify	ent surveys (e.g., NSSE) iducted student surveys (e.g. OIR) rtment/program student surveys rs, focus groups, or interviews reys, focus groups, or interviews d surveys, focus groups, or interviews			
Q3C: Other Mea	Q3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams, standardized tests, etc.)					
Q3.8. Were external benchmarking data success         licensing exams or standardized tests used to assess the PLO?         1. Yes         2. No (Go to Q3.8.2)         3. Don't know         Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to assess         1. Yes         2. No (Go to Q3.9)         3. Don't know (Go to Q3.9)	o 1. Natio 2. Gene 3. Othe 4. Othe	eral knowledge and ski r standardized knowle r, specify:	easures were used? or state/professional licensure exams Ils measures (e.g., CLA, CAAP, ETS PP, etc.) dge and skill exams (e.g., ETS, GRE, etc.) sures were used, please specify:			

Q3D: Alignment and Quality					
Q3.9. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO?  1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	Q3.9.1. Were ALL the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures for the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know				
Question 4: Data, Finding	gs and Conclusions				
<b>Q4.1.</b> Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the asso [Word limit: 600 for selected PLO]	-				
<b>Q4.2.</b> Are students doing well and meeting program standard? If not, he the selected PLO?	ow will the program work to improve student performance of				
Q4.3. For selected PLO, the student performance:         1. Exceeded expectation/standard         2. Met expectation/standard         3. Partially met expectation/standard         4. Did not meet expectation/standard         5. No expectation or standard has been specified         6. Don't know					

Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop)						
Q5.1. As a result of the assessment effort in 2014-2015 and based on the prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g., course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)?         1. Yes         2. No (Go to Q6)         3. Don't know (Go to Q6)         Q5.1.2. Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate making?         1. Yes         2. No         3. Don't know	Q5.1.1. Please describe what changes you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO. Include a description of how you plan to assess the impact of these changes. [Word limit: 300 words]					
Q5.2. How have the assessment data from last year (2013 - 2014)	been used so f	ar? [Check all t	nat apply]			
	<b>(1)</b> Very Much	(2) Quite a Bit	<b>(3)</b> Some	<b>(4)</b> Not at all	<b>(8)</b> N/A	
1. Improving specific courses						
2. Modifying curriculum						
3. Improving advising and mentoring						
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals						
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations						
6. Developing/updating assessment plan						
7. Annual assessment reports						
8. Program review						
9. Prospective student and family information						
10. Alumni communication						
11. WASC accreditation (regional accreditation)						
12. Program accreditation						
13. External accountability reporting requirement						
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations						
15. Strategic planning						
16. Institutional benchmarking						
17. Academic policy development or modification						
18. Institutional Improvement						
19. Resource allocation and budgeting						
20. New faculty hiring						
21. Professional development for faculty and staff						
22. Recruitment of new students						
23. Other Specify:						

**Q5.2.1.** Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above.

## Additional Assessment Activities

Additional Assessment Activities
<b>Q6.</b> Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspects of a program that are not related to PLOs (i.e., impacts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected data on the program elements, please briefly report your results here. [Word limit: 300]
We have performed surveys of graduates. They are done anonymously via a google form. We review the responses to identify areas of concern from a student perspective.
Q7. What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year?
1. Critical thinking
2. Information literacy
x 3. Written communication
x 4. Oral communication
5. Quantitative literacy
6. Inquiry and analysis
7. Creative thinking
8. Reading
9. Team work
10. Problem solving
11. Civic knowledge and engagement
12. Intercultural knowledge and competency
13. Ethical reasoning
14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning
15. Global learning
16. Integrative and applied learning
17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge
18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline
19. Other, specify any PLOs that were assessed in 2014-2015 but
not included above:
a.
b.
C.
<b>Q8.</b> Have you attached any appendices? If yes, please list them all here:
We have attached a copy of our current draft of our updated assessment plan. We hope to finalize this document this summer and implement in
the 2015-16 academic year.

	Pro	ogram	ı Inf	formati	ion					
<b>P1.</b> Program/Concentration Name(s): Physics				<b>P2.</b> Prograr William DeG						
<b>P1.1.</b> Report Authors: William DeGraffenreid, Christopher Taylor				<b>P2.1.</b> Depart William DeG						
<b>P3.</b> Academic unit: Department, Program, or Department of Physics and Astronomy	College:			<b>P4.</b> College Natural Scier		Mathemat	ics			
P5. Fall 2014 enrollment for Academic unit (See Department Fact Book 2014 by the Office of Institutional Research for fall 2014 enrollment: 74       P6. Program Type: [Select only one]         X       1. Undergraduate baccalaureate         2. Credential       3. Master's degree         4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.d)					e major					
Undergraduate Degree Program(s):				Master Deg	er. Pleas		•			
<b>P7.</b> Number of undergraduate degree programulti has: 2	ms the a	cademic	1	-			ree prog	rams the	e acader	nic unit has:
P7.1. List all the name(s): BS, BAP8.1. List all the name(s): -NA-P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program?P8.1. List all the name(s): -NA-BS Physics; BA Physics; BA Physics, Teacher Preparation Concentrationmaster program? -NA-				ear on th	ne diplor	na for this				
<b>Credential Program(s):</b> <b>P9.</b> Number of credential programs the acade	emic unit	has: -NA	-	<b>Doctorate l</b> <b>P10.</b> Numb has: -NA-	-		egree pr	ograms	the acac	lemic unit
P9.1. List all the names: -NA-			l	<b>P10.1.</b> List a	all the na	me(s): -N	IA-			
Mhen was your assessment blau         1. Before           2. 2007-08         3. 2008-09						6. 2011-12	7. 2012-13	8. 2013-14	9. 2014-15	10. No formal plan
P11. Developed	х									
P12. Last updated	X									
							1. Yes	2. No	3. Don't Know	
P13. Have you developed a curriculum map for this program?							X			
P14. Has the program indicated explicitly where th	e assessn	nent <b>of st</b>	udent	learning occ	urs in the	curriculu	m?		Х	
<b>P15.</b> Does the program have any capstone class?				-				Х		
P15. Does the program have any capstone class? P16. Does the program have ANY capstone project?									1	

# Department of Physics and Astronomy

#### Assessment Plan

#### June 2015(Draft)

Assessment is a long-term process that allows departments and faculty members to ensure that our students are leaving our program with useful and marketable skills to become successful members of the scientific and general community. This document is provided as an outline for process to ensure this process is done in a meaningful and efficient manner.

#### Mission, Background, and Goals

#### **Mission Statement**

The mission of the major programs of the Department of Physics and Astronomy is to help our baccalaureate graduates attain the knowledge, skills and attitudes that are the foundation for success in Physics and related careers. More specifically, we support three broad groups of students: those who plan to attend graduate school in Physics, Astronomy or technical disciplines such as Engineering and Computational Science, those who seek technical industrial or laboratory employment, and those who intend to pursue a career in K-12 teaching.

#### **Department Background**

We have approximately 100 majors in three degree programs. Our BS in physics provides a rigorous physics background that is designed for students interested in pursuing graduate studies in Physics or Astronomy. The BA is a traditional "liberal arts" degree that provides a solid background in Physics, yet provides flexibility in the degree for students looking for a well-rounded education. The BA – Teacher Preparation Concentration is designed for those interested in a career in secondary education. About half of our graduates move on to graduate studies in Physics or a related field (most notably Electrical Engineering).

#### **Student Learning Outcomes**

The mission of the Department is highly aligned with the Sacramento State Baccalaureate Learning Outcomes. These are described in more detail in Appendix A. Specific to the nature of our programs, there are four learning outcomes that we desire our students to be highly proficient in upon graduation. While the relative weighting of these areas may vary between our degree programs, they are in fact common to all programs. For this reason, at this point, we do not see any reason to develop different outcomes for our degree programs.

- <u>Physics Knowledge</u> Students will develop a broad understanding of the basic principles of Physics and have a firm foundation for acquiring new knowledge and applying it in a variety of situations. We desire our students to be well schooled in the theories and laws of Physics. In addition to classroom and laboratory experiences, all students in this program are required to attend a minimum of twenty physics colloquium where they are exposed to current research subjects in Physics and Astronomy as well as occasional talks on the history of Physics. We wish the future evolution of our curriculum to keep course content and laboratories as modern as feasible with available resources.
- <u>Analytic Reasoning</u> Students should develop problem solving, critical thinking, and analytical skills and be able to learn new skills as needed. This is an especially important area since quantitative "critical thinking" is badly needed in all technical pursuits and a good Physics background is extremely effective in providing this. It is no accident that people with Physics training are found in every field in which the connection between mathematics and the real world is important. We make the students explicitly aware that the development of general analytical skills is at least as high a priority as the course material itself.
- <u>Technical Skills</u> Students must be exposed to a broad range of technical skills and should become proficient in most. Not too many years ago there was a fairly large distinction between theorists (working with pencil and paper) and experimentalists (in the lab with equipment and instruments). This is not as true today. A theorist may be heavily involved in developing realworld simulations and an experimentalist will likely need to have to build their work on very complex models. Our students will develop proficiency in using a wide variety of instruments, tools, and software programs. Many will demonstrate advanced technical skills by participating in one of our Certificate Programs.
- <u>Communication Skills</u> Scientists must be able to share their ideas and work with others in their field. The demands of such technical writing (and speech) are generally beyond the scope of the writing requirements as defined in the University General Education program. Very complex theories and experiments must be described in unambiguous terms often peppered with large amounts of mathematics and technical jargon. Large data sets, measured or theoretically generated, must be presented clearly and succinctly in tables and graphs. Scientists must also be able to effectively share their results in other forms, such as conference presentations and poster sessions. Our students learn about all of these modes of communication and gain experiences in them through their work in classes and Senior Projects.

#### **Assessment Strategies**

As noted in Appendix B, our previous Assessment Plan was rather holistic and based on the small number of majors that we had at the time (approximately 40). From the results of our 2009-10 Program Review and the 2011-12 Learning Outcomes Report, we've determined that we need a more data-driven and sustainable plan.

We will measure the effectiveness of our Programs and the Learning Outcomes as described below.

#### Systematic Assessment

We have historically found great value in our graduating senior and recent-alumni surveys. We will continue to do these to gauge the student/alumni perception of our programs as well as to provide us with information about experiences that have proven particularly useful in their careers or deficiencies that have been noted. We used to do our exit interviews in person, but with the increase in the number of graduates, this is proving to be a scheduling problem. We will now do this electronically. Each graduate will be sent a survey (Appendix C) within a month of graduation. Every five years, we will perform an alumni survey (Appendix D) for graduates 4 - 8 years from graduation. The Assessment Committee will review these surveys and issues identified by the Committee will be brought to the Department's attention.

#### **Physics Knowledge**

Graduating seniors will be asked to take the Major Field Test in Physics. This comprehensive physics examination is given by departments nationwide to assess physics knowledge. Student test results are compiled by ETS and will be returned to us along with data about comparable institutions. This data will help us identify areas in our curriculum that are proving ineffective. Due to the relatively small number of students taking the examination each year (~10), we will use multiple years to identify trends. We expect that our students will perform in the upper half of comparable (public, baccalaureate) institutions.

If a particular subject area is determined to be less effective than others, we will initiate a more specific investigation into the appropriate courses in an attempt to identify why the outcomes are not being met.

#### **Analytic Reasoning**

We have developed a rubric (Appendix E) to assess the analytical skills of our students. The rubric is designed to measure the problem solving, critical thinking, and numerical analysis skills expected of our majors. In the years that we collect data for this learning outcome we will request copies of the final exams of our core physics classes: PHYS 110, 135, 150, 151. We will also request copies of the "formal" lab report for students in PHYS 175. For students in the BA programs, the 110 and 135 exams are particularly useful as they are the highest level theory classes taken by these students. For those in the

BS program, 150 and 151 are the highest level. PHYS 175 serves both audiences. We expect that students in the BA program will have average scores of "intermediate" or higher and the BS students will be "advanced" or higher.

#### **Technical Skills**

We have developed rubrics (Appendix E) for assessing the technical skills of our students, one for experimental skills, the other for computational skills. Students will be assessed in appropriate classes (115, 116, 145, 162, 163, and 175) during the years when this learning outcome is selected. We expect that, on average, students will be advanced in either experimental skills or computational skills.

#### **Communication Skills**

We have developed rubrics (Appendix E) for assessing the communication skills of our students. The rubrics examine written, oral, and data presentation skills. The rubrics will be applied by faculty in their review of Senior Project written and oral reports, as well as in the final written and oral reports in 175.

## **Implementation Timeline**

#### Annually

Senior Exit Interviews

Students take Major Field Test

#### **Rotating Schedule**

Analysis of Physics Knowledge, Analytical Knowledge, Technical Skills, Communication Skills on staggered rotating plan; one per year.

Recent alumni survey, every four years.

#### As Determined Necessary

Detailed inquiry into Physics Knowledge subject area

General alumni survey

### **Appendix A: Baccalaureate Learning Goals and Us**

Sacramento State Baccalaureate Learning Goals for the 21st Century

**Competence in the Disciplines**: The ability to demonstrate the competencies and values listed below in *at least one major field of study* and to demonstrate informed understandings of other fields, drawing on the knowledge and skills of disciplines outside the major.

Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World through study in the sciences and mathematics, social sciences, humanities, histories, languages, and the arts. Focused by engagement with big questions, contemporary and enduring.

**Intellectual and Practical Skills, Including:** *inquiry and analysis, critical, philosophical, and creative thinking, written and oral communication, quantitative literacy, information literacy, teamwork and problem solving,* practiced extensively, across the curriculum, in the context of progressively more challenging problems, projects, and standards for performance.

Personal and Social Responsibility, Including: civic knowledge and engagement—local and global, intercultural knowledge and competence\*, ethical reasoning and action, foundations and skills for lifelong learning anchored through active involvement with diverse communities and real-world challenges.

Integrative Learning\*\*, Including: synthesis and advanced accomplishment across general and specialized studies.

All of the above are demonstrated through the application of knowledge, skills, and responsibilities to new settings and complex problems.

Figure 1: Sacramento State Baccalaureate Learning Goals from http://goo.gl/abfQDp

Our program has a very strong overlap with the Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs). Our primary learning outcome, Physics Knowledge, aligns with the first two BLGs, Competence in the Discipline and Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical/Natural World. Physics majors are exposed to subjects that have been fundamental in the understanding the universe and the development of the modern world. They are exposed to contemporary research that is shaping our future. The third BLG is Intellectual and Practical Skills. By the very nature of studying physics, our students gain mathematical, computer, instrumentation, and problem solving skills that are not only useful in their professional preparation, but in all aspects of their lives. We emphasize the portability of such skills as they effectively constitute our second learning outcome. Our desire to develop communication skills in graduates also aligns with the third BLG.

The fourth BLG focuses on Personal and Social Responsibility. The process of doing science has significant ethical issues which are addressed in all of our laboratory courses. Students are held to rigorous ethical standards and are taught how to process the data that they collect appropriately. Most laboratory work is also done in groups, as in the "real world," and students learn how to work with others. The final BLG is Integrative Learning. The majority of students graduating from our programs

participates in an independent project, either through a Senior Project or in their advanced lab courses (PHYS 116 or 163). These projects give students the opportunity to identify a problem to study, perform an experiment, analyze the results of the experiment, and present the results. These projects tie together all of our learning outcomes and the Integrative Learning BLG.

#### **Appendix B: Brief History of Assessment Activities**

Our previous assessment plan was from January of 2008, and is based in large part on the 2001 plan. The changes in 2008 were intended to focus the plan on our academic program as a whole, and away from individual courses. This plan was used for all of our major programs. It was rather holistic and not very rubric driven; this decision was based on our very small numbers of majors that we had at the time. We put significant emphasis on Senior Exit interviews and evaluation of the Senior Project reports.

Since the development of the 2001 plan, we've made several significant changes to our programs. They are briefly summarized here.

- Created *Teacher Preparation Concentration* option for our BA degree to better prepare high school teachers.
- Created *Certificate in Scientific Instrument Development* and *Certificate in Scientific Computing and Simulation* to better prepare students for careers in academia and/or industry.
- Eliminated the languishing and unnecessary Physical Science BA degree program.
- Created PHYS 191, Senior Project, to provide a capstone experience for our students.
- Created PHYS 136 and significantly revised PHYS 156 to better prepare students for graduate studies in Physics.
- Updated PHYS 162 to reflect modern approaches to scientific computing and created PHYS 163 to teach more advanced computing techniques.
- Updated PHYS 115 and PHYS 116 to better reflect the current state of the art in electronics and instrumentation.
- Updated and standardized the PHYS 11-series curriculum to ensure adequate preparation of physics majors and students from Engineering and Chemistry that take this sequence.
- Standardized the curriculum of PHYS 106 to ensure uniform expectation of background of our students in the upper-division. We had found wide disparities in topics covered in this gateway upper-division course.

With the recent surge in the number of our majors, coupled with the desire by the campus and WASC to become more data driven, we have developed this new plan.

#### **Appendix C: Senior Exit Survey**

1. Why did you choose to major in Physics, and did your experience here fulfill your expectations that you had of your physics education?

2. What do you consider the greatest strength of our program?

3. What do you consider to be our greatest weakness?

4. What is your assessment of the Senior Project course, and did it give you a genuine experience of research and discovery?

5. Do you think the department sufficiently encourages engagement in physics related activities outside the classroom, for instance, seminars, read papers, field trips, things like that?

6. How do you feel the department has assisted you in learning programming, interfacing, computation in general?

7. Do you think that the department does an adequate job encouraging student engagement in physics and astronomy, as the case may be, related activities through its student organization?

8. Rate three physics courses that you feel have been, or will be, most beneficial to you, and also, rate three which will be the least beneficial.

9. Was academic advising provided by the department adequate and helpful to you during your time here?

10. Did you take the GRE exam? If so, how well prepared were you for it?

11. Did our lab courses provide sufficient hands on experience?

12. Did you get enough help and guidance to obtain off campus work experience such as REUs, summer internships, and so forth?

13. How accessible and helpful did you find the faculty in the department?

# Appendix D: Recent Alumni Survey

1.	Internship, summer project, or senior project (P191) while @ Sac State Physics:
2.	Current position/occupation:
3.	Highlight your professional experience since graduation:
4.	Generally, how would you rate the effectiveness of your physics education at Sacramento State?
5.	How would you rate the effectiveness of our upper division laboratories?
6.	How would you rate the effectiveness of our colloquium/seminar programs?
7.	What would you consider as the main strength of your physics education at Sacramento State?
8.	What would you consider as the main weakness of your physics education at Sacramento State?
9.	What did you feel was most lacking in your physics background as you started working?
10.	How would you assess the effectiveness of the Senior Project (P191)?
11.	Did you have enough exposure to computer related skills while here?
12.	Please comment on any other matter that you deem important.

# **Appendix E: Rubrics**

Our rubrics for Analytic Reasoning, Technical Skills, and Communication Skills begin on the next page.

# Analytic Reasoning

	Mastery	Advanced	Intermediate	Simple
	4	3	2	1
Comprehension of	Problem to be	Problem to be	Problem to be	Problem to be
the Problem	considered clearly understood and the student undertakes a clear strategy to solving the problem. Subtle details are clearly described and considered on how they affect the results.	considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	considered is stated by description leaves some terms undefined, unclear, or misunderstanding that can prevent fully solving the problem.	considered is poorly addressed. Work takes student down a path that is unsuitable for the problem.
Mathematical Skills	The student shows a mastery of the mathematical techniques needed to solve the problem.	The student shows a very sound understanding of the mathematical tools needed to solve the problems at hand. Errors may exist but are generally not a significant issue in the understanding of the problem.	The student's mathematical work shows some regular difficulties in solving problems.	Student is unable to demonstrate an understanding of the mathematical scaffolding behind the physics problems they are facing.
Connection Between Physics and Mathematics	The student demonstrates that he/she has a complete understanding of how the mathematical results connect to the physical problem being examined. Any discrepancy between the two is clearly and thoughtfully explained.	The student understands the general idea of the connection between the mathematical results and the problems under examination. There may be some incomplete connections that prevent a masterful connection between the mathematical and physical model.	Student makes limited connections between the mathematical and physical world. There may be significant mistakes in the connection and interpretations may also be incorrect.	Student makes no or completely inappropriate connections between the physical problem and the mathematical results used in solving the problems.

(cont)	4	3	2	1
Limitations of	Student clearly	Student identifies	Marginal attempt to	No attempt to
Analysis	defines assumptions made in the model and/or mathematical approach to solving the problem. The implications of these assumptions are clearly described and there is an attempt to show how the inclusion of these subtle effects would change the results.	some of the issues that could affect the results of the analysis. There may be little or no attempt to explain the effect of the assumptions on the analysis	discuss the accuracy of the model and the limitations of it. A simple acknowledgement that this is a model (without its limitations) is typical for this score.	mention any assumptions made in the physical model used to solve the problem.
Accessing	Accesses reliable	Accesses reliable	Knows what sources	Determines when
Information	information from a	information from a	of information are	information is
	wide variety of	small number of	reliable	needed.
	sources.	sources.		

# **Technical Skill – Experimental**

	Mastery	Advanced	Intermediate	Simple
	4	3	2	1
Use of equipment	Broad and	Generally well used.	Not using equipment	Inappropriate use,
	appropriate use of	Good choice of	to potential or	unsafe.
	equipment. Used	equipment, but	inappropriate choice	
	safely.	perhaps not best	of equipment for job	
		possible use.	at hand. Use may put	
			equipment in harm's	
			way.	
Design of	Student can	With minimal	With moderate	Student is generally
experimental	independently design	assistance, student	assistance, student	unable to design
apparatus	and use a	can design and use a	can design and use a	and use a
	multicomponent	multicomponent	multicomponent	multicomponent
	experiment using a	experiment using a	experiment using a	experiment to make
	variety of	variety of	variety of components	a meaningful
	components to make	components to make	to make a meaningful	measurement.
	a meaningful	a meaningful	measurement.	
	measurement.	measurement.		
Use of computer	Student can design	Student can	Student can use	Student cannot
in running an	appropriate software	customize	appropriate software	effectively use
experiment	and hardware to	appropriate software	designed by others	appropriate software
	control experiment	and hardware to	and hardware to	or hardware to
	and log data.	control experiment	control experiment	control experiment
		and log data.	and log data.	or log data.

# Technical Skill – Computation

	Mastery	Advanced	Intermediate	Simple
	4	3	2	1
General Level	Student can use	Student uses	Software is used in a	Software is used
Computer Skills	standard computer	standard computer	marginally effective	very poorly and the
(i.e. word	software to put	software reasonably	manner. The way the	quality of the work
processing,	together compelling	well. Perhaps doesn't	software is used	is completely
spreadsheets,	documents, reports,	use to full potential	significantly impacts	hindered by it
illustrations, etc.)	etc.	or makes less than	readability and	(even if the physics
		ideal choices to	effectiveness of the	is done correctly).
		tackle some aspects	work.	
		of the documents		
		and reports.		
Specialized	Student demonstrates	The software is well	The software is used	The software is ill-
Software (i.e.	high level under-	used by the student	to tackle solving	used and makes
LabVIEW, C++,	standing of how	to solve problems	problems, but there	little contribution to
FORTRAN,	software tools can be	but may not be as	are gaps in the full	solving of the
Mathematica, etc.)	effectively used in	easily used by others	implementation. May	problems at hand.
	solving technical	due to insufficient	also be poorly	Generally poorly
	problems. The "code"	documentation or	documented and	documented and
	is clear, easily read,	poor layout of the	structured.	lacking in structure.
	and understood by	code.		
	others.			

### **Communication Skills - Written**

	Mastery	Advanced	Intermediate	Simple
	4	3	2	1
Physics Content	Uses appropriate and relevant physics concepts in a clear and compelling fashion to display mastery of a particular subject in physics. Mathematical work is elegant and easy to follow.	Uses appropriate and relevant physics concepts in a clear and compelling fashion to display or explain sophisticated and/or complicated ideas. Mathematical work is clear and easy to follow.	Uses appropriate and relevant physics concepts to develop or explain more sophisticated ideas. Mathematical work is understandable.	Uses appropriate and relevant physics concepts to develop or explain simple ideas. Mathematica work is confused and/or confusing.
Use of written language	Uses straightforward language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers.	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers, with occasional errors.	Uses language that sometimes makes it difficult to understand meaning
Formatting of documents	Demonstrates successful use of a wide range of physics-specific conventions in written communication.	Demonstrates consistent use of physics-specific conventions in written communication.	Follows format, organization, and style expectations for the given writing task.	Attempts to use a consistent system for organizing and presenting written information.

# **Communication Skills – Oral**

	Mastery	Advanced	Intermediate	Simple
	4	3	2	1
Conveying of Scientific Content	Scientific concepts are presented in a compelling fashion, with strong supporting evidence.	Scientific concepts are presented in clear, understandable fashion with supporting evidence.	Scientific concepts are presented in an understandable fashion.	Scientific concepts are presented in a confusing fashion.
Organization	An organizational structure is observed consistently through- out the presentation, and its use makes the content very coherent.	An organizational structure is observed consistently throughout the presentation.	An organizational structure is observed intermittently in the presentation.	No organizational structure is observed in the presentation.
Language and Delivery	Language choice and delivery are audience appropriate, and enhance the conveying of important ideas. Presenter appears confident.	Language choice and delivery are audience appropriate and support the conveying of important ideas. Presenter appears comfortable.	Language choice and delivery are understandable by the audience and do not interfere with the conveying of important ideas. Presenter appears tentative.	Language choice and presentation are inappropriate for the audience and obscure the significance of important ideas. Presenter appears uncomfortable.

	Mastery	Advanced	Intermediate	Simple
	4	3	2	1
Presentation of data	Presentation of data	Presentation of data	Presentation of data	Presentation of data
	in graphical, tabular,	in graphical, tabular,	in graphical, tabular,	in graphical, tabular,
	or image form	or image form is	or image form is	or image form is
	enhances	accurate, with	partly accurate but	inaccurate.
	understandability	appropriate format	confusing in format,	
	through accuracy,	and labels.	labelling, etc.	
	elegant formal and			
	effective labels.			

## **Communication Skills - Visual Representation of Data**